**Grading Rubric for Paper Presenters on Technical Content**

**Name:**

**Paper Title:**

| CATEGORY | Excellent - 4 | Good - 3 | Satisfactory - 2 | Unsatisfactory - 1 | Score |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| **Content Accuracy** | All content throughout the presentation is accurate. There are no factual errors. | Most of the content is accurate but there is one piece of information that seems inaccurate. | The content is generally accurate, but one piece of information is clearly inaccurate. | The content is confusing or contains more than one factual error. | |
| **Content Depth** | The material presented is sufficiently detailed to enable full understanding of the technical problem and the challenges in solving it. | The material presented is almost sufficiently detailed to enable full understanding of the technical problem and challenges in solving it. Only 1-2 areas were not described in sufficient detail. | The material presented only contains enough detail to enable a partial understanding of the technical problem and challenges in solving it. | Audience members were unable to appreciate the technical problems due to the limited detail presented. | |
| **Content Approval** | Research paper approved in advance | | | Research paper not approved in advance | |
| | | | | **Total Score (out of 12)** | |

**Comments:**