



Computer Systems and Networks

ECPE 170 – University of the Pacific

Version Control

Lab Schedule

- ↗ Today
 - ↗ **Lab 2 – Version Control**
- ↗ Next Tuesday (Sept 10th)
 - ↗ **Lab Report for Lab 1 due by 11:59pm**
 - ↗ Submit via Sakai
 - ↗ **Intro to C (for C++ programmers)**
 - ↗ **Build Tools + Makefiles**
- ↗ Next Thursday (Sept 12th)
 - ↗ **Lab 3 – C Programming**
 - ↗ **Lab Report for Lab 2 due by 11:59pm**

Pre-Lab

↗ Any problems encountered creating repository?

Before Version Control

1. <Report.doc>
2. <Report.doc.bak>
3. <Report-1.doc>
4. Email off to partner...
5. <Report-2.doc>
6. Partner responds with doc
(that is missing the changes you
just made)
7. <Report-2a.doc>
8. <Report-2a-WITH-
REFERENCES.doc>
9. Email off to partner...
Partner responds with new doc
<Report-3.doc>
10. <Report-3-FINAL.doc>
11. <Report-3-FINAL-OOPS-FIXED-
TYPO-FINAL.doc>

Version Control Features

- ↗ Project history tracking
- ↗ Concurrent file editing (merges)
- ↗ Non-linear program history (branches)
- ↗ Naming scheme for program releases (tags)

Motivation for Version Control

- ↗ Why would a single programmer (working alone) use version control?
 - ↗ Backup files
 - ↗ Roll-back to earlier (working) version
 - ↗ See changes made between current (broken) code and earlier (working) code
 - ↗ Maintain multiple versions of a single product
 - ↗ Experiment with a new feature
 - ↗ Try a risky change in a “sandbox”
 - ↗ If it works, you can merge it into the regular code.
If it fails, you can throw it away.

Motivation for Version Control

- ↗ Why would a small group of developers use version control?
 - ↗ All the reasons a single programmer would, plus...
 - ↗ Merging different changes made by different developers into the same file
 - ↗ Add a new function at the bottom? Safe to automatically merge in
 - ↗ Re-write a function at the same time another developer is also editing it? Version control will catch this and ask you to decide which edits should “win”
 - ↗ Blame – who wrote this buggy code?!?

Motivation for Version Control

- ↗ Why would a large group of developers use version control?
- ↗ Different question: What would it have been like to develop the Linux kernel, Adobe Photoshop, Google Chrome, etc... using:
 - ↗ A single shared “folder of code”?
 - ↗ Emailing code snippets between developers?
 - ↗ Everyone sitting around and sharing one keyboard?

Version Control Basics

- ↗ **What kind of files should I keep in version control?**
 - ↗ Program source code (*obviously*)
 - ↗ VHDL / Verilog files (from digital design class)
 - ↗ Matlab scripts
 - ↗ HTML files
 - ↗ Server configuration files
 - ↗ Imagine you work at Livermore National Labs, and your job is to manage Linux cluster computers with 100,000+ machines (nodes)...
 - ↗ **Anything that is plain text!**

Version Control Basics



- ↗ **What kind of files should I not keep in version control?**
 - ↗ These aren't "rules", so much as "guidelines"...
 - ↗ **Binary data**
 - ↗ How do you *merge* two different binary files together? No general-purpose way to do this
 - ↗ **Anything auto-generated by the compiler**
 - ↗ Object files or executable file
 - ↗ Wastes space on useless junk that can be re-created automatically
 - ↗ **Text editor temp files** (e.g. main.c~)

Version Control Basics

- ↗ **Big risk in putting the executable in version control**
 - ↗ If you forget to compile before a commit, the executable may not be **in sync** with the attached source code!
 - ↗ **Big headache if you ever roll back to this version!**
- ↗ **In ECPE 170, all our executable files can be produced in under 5 seconds with one command. There's no need to include them in your repository**

Distributed Version Control

- ↗ Why do they call Mercurial a **distributed version control system**?
 - ↗ Conventional systems (e.g., Subversion or “svn”) have a centralized server hold the “master” copy
 - ↗ Distributed version control – each copy is its own full-fledged master! (But you can still push changes from one person’s copy to another)
 - ↗ Allows version control to work offline
 - ↗ Allows version control to work with ad-hoc groups

Version Control in ECPE 170

- ↗ Version control **required** for this class
 - ↗ Used to distribute boilerplate code for labs
 - ↗ Used to turn in assignments when finished

Version Control in ECPE 170

- ↗ *If you only do one check-in at the very end of your project, you've missed the whole point of version control, and turned a valuable tool into an obstacle to completing the assignment*
- ↗ **Check-in code on a regular basis!**